important, Taiwan's foreign policy or its policy toward the mainland, he responded, "If you are going fishing, is it the hook or the line that is important?"

Already, Mr. Chang is signaling a shift in tone from that of his predecessor, Mr. Chien, who held the more conciliatory stance that policy toward the mainland took precedence over foreign policy. It is Mr. Chang's stepped up efforts to raise Taiwan's international profile that has led some in Beijing to accuse him of betraying the ideals of his father and grandfather, who had hoped that the island would one day reunify with the mainland.

On some points, Mr. Chang strikes softer notes. Taiwan's continuing efforts to join international organizations, he says, will focus more on "functional agencies" such as the World Bank, the International Monetary Fund and the World Trade Organization

Fund and the World Trade Organization. And while Taiwan will continue its efforts to take a more active role in the United Nations—the move on the international stage that most angers Beijing—Mr. Chang notes that Taiwan isn't formally seeking U.N. membership, but rather, it asks only that the U.N. study the issue of the representation of Taiwan, which hasn't been a member of the world body since 1971.

But in the next breath, Mr. Chang says he is planning overseas trips for later this year, and hopes to sign on new countries "who want to have formal relationships with us," adding to the 31 nations that currently recognize Taiwan.

Which new countries might those be? The diplomatic veil drops again. "You will hear about it," he promises, smiling.●

## A NEW MARSHALL PLAN FOR THE EAST

• Mr. SIMON. Mr. President, the Chicago Tribune had an editorial calling for a Marshall plan for Eastern Europe.

That really makes sense. I know that between now and election day we're not going to hear calls from our leaders for this, but after election day, I hope that will happen.

It would take courage, just as the original Marshall plan took courage. After President Truman and General Marshall announced the plan, the first Gallup Poll showed only 14 percent of the American public supporting it.

But what a great thing that was for the United States and the world; and let me add we need a Marshall plan for our domestic scene, particularly urban America

President Clinton was not correct when he said that this is the end of the era of big Government.

The question is not whether the Government is big or small but whether it is good, whether it is doing the things that need to be done.

There are needs today in Eastern Europe and in the cities of our country. My hope is that the next President of the United States—and my hope is that it will be Bill Clinton—and the next Congress will show greater leadership than we have shown in foreign affairs and domestic affairs these last 2 years.

Mr. President, I ask that this editorial from the Chicago Tribune be printed in the RECORD.

The editorial follows:

A NEW MARSHALL PLAN FOR THE EAST

Sometimes the martial mind can discern more accurately than others how this nation

should pursue its interests short of war. Think of Gen. George C. Marshall, who traded in his olive-drab for pinstripes after World War II and, as secretary of state, drafted the inspired plan (that now bears his name) to inject billions of dollars into the charred economies of Western Europe to create stable conditions in which democracy thrived and communism was held at bay.

Now that the Western democracies have won the Cold War, along comes another general with a compelling vision for America's role in Europe

role in Europe.
U.S. Gen. George Joulwan, the NATO supreme commander, argues that the Cold War's conclusion is not a time for America to disengage from Europe but to "consolidate the gains of democracy." In military terms, he says, "When you take an objective, the first thing you think about is not pulling back from the objective but of securing it." And the Western democracies, he says, have not yet consolidated their gains among the fragile, emerging democracies to the east.

True enough. But it is the method by which Joulwan proposes to achieve that consolidation—expansion of NATO—that gives us pause.

Pentagon troop strength in Europe, which forms the backbone of the Western alliance, has dropped to 100,000 from a Cold War high of 350,000.

Joulwan argues for expanding NATO eastward. That is the determination of both the North Atlantic Council that governs NATO and of his own commander in chief, President Clinton. (Republican challenger Bob Dole also favors allowing former Warsaw Pact states into NATO.)

But no military threat requires expanding NATO, particularly at a time when the wounded Russian bear would feel caged, provoked.

True, partnership training exercises between NATO and the armies of the East can teach discipline, order and the powerful concept of control over the military by a democratically elected civilian government. But even Joulwan avers that America "stands for much more than ships, tanks and planes. It stands for shared values that are sought in the rest of Europe."

Military alliances are no substitute for political and economic integration, and that is the best way to share western values with Central and Eastern Europe. Proof of that rests in the dusty archives of American diplomacy, in a proposal mostly forgotten as a casualty of the Moscow-Washington competition.

It's not widely remembered, but the Marshall Plan envisioned America's investing billions of dollars in Eastern Europe—yes, even in Russia—as well as in the West. Moscow vetoed that aid, so Marshall's visionary proposal benefited Western Europe alone.

Time to dust that plan off. The successor administration of the Marshall Plan, the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, is alive and healthy today. Along with European Union membership and American guidance, it represents the best strategy for integrating the new Europe.

## TRIBUTE TO MERRILL MOORE

• Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, I rise today to salute Merrill Moore, an experienced and devoted journalist who has become a living legend in upper east Tennessee and southwest Virginia. Merrill Moore is recognized by many in his community as the steadfast anchorman on WCYB-TV in Bristol. For 30 years, he has been one of the most trusted and most watched journalists in the five State area.

Moore began his career in radio broadcasting as a student at East Tennessee State University [ETSU]. He was a familiar radio personality on WETB, the college radio station, and remained active in broadcasting throughout his college career. After 2 years of military service, Moore returned home to the tri-cities area and to the radio booth.

Mr. President, at the urging of his colleagues Moore moved to WCYB-TV in Bristol where he worked his way through the ranks. In 1962, Moore anchored his first newscast at 11 p.m. and by 1964, he was anchoring the 6 p.m. newscast. Thirty-four years later, Merrill Moore has reached the pinnacle of his broadcasting career. In those years, he has covered countless national and local events and has had the opportunity to interview Presidents Ford, Carter, Bush, and Clinton.

Most importantly, Moore has had the opportunity to witness the growth of the tri-cities area. Many of his reports have spanned the beginning and completion of area projects, such as the construction of the East Tennessee State University Medical School and the highway connecting the tri-cities to Asheville, NC. He has been a main source of information to the community from the drawing board to the dedication of many area improvements. And he never fails to provide an up-to-date and informative newscast.

Recently, Merrill Moore was awarded the prestigious George Bowles Broadcast Journalism Award for his many years of dedication to WCYB-TV and the tri-cities area. The award, presented by the Virginia Association of Broadcasters, is an annual honor given to successful broadcast journalists that are respected by their peers and the community. It also honors journalists for their devotion to their work and the amount of insight they bring to the stories they cover. Merrill Moore most certainly qualifies for this award and has maintained these high standards for many years.

Mr. President, I would like to ask you to join me in applauding the efforts and continued service that Merrill Moore has provided upper east Tennessee and south west Virginia. His commitment to the tri-cities is to be admired by many.

## OBJECTION TO CONFERENCE REPORT TO ACCOMPANY H.R. 1296

• Mr. WYDEN. Mr. President, I am announcing that I would object to any request for unanimous consent to proceed to consider the conference report on H.R. 1296.

I would object to any unanimous request to proceed with this conference report because it contains a provision to that would allow the Secretary of the Interior to sell corporate sponsorships to America's National Parks System

This provision has the potential to completely change the character of our